

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/985,669)	11/05/2001	Yoshitaka Hayashi	XA-9573	4070	
181	7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
		KBRIDGE PC	LEWIS, TISHA D			
SUITE 5	NNACLE I 500	DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEA	N, VA 2	22102-3833		3681		
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/200	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	//				
Advisory Action	09/985,669	HAYASHI ET AL.	4				
navious nation	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TISHA D. LEWIS	3681					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice it is applicated and the same it	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): 102(b).						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 1 and 67 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
i.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •	-	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,47,65 and 67</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-11, 13-18, 20-22, 28, 41-46, 66, 68-74 and 97 (due to claims 2-4, 15, 20, 21, 41-45, 68, 69 and 72 depending from the allowable claims (see con't)</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:		TISHA LEWIS					
	\mathcal{H}	RIMARY EXAMINE	123/04				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's amendment to claim 28 and addition of new claim 97 is still not patentable over Cotterman in view of Teske et al. Applicant's argument as to the lacking of motivation in both references is acknowledged, but one would not have to reconstruct Cotterman to use the ball screw device of Teske since Teske discloses that ball screw actuators can be used in many different environments for positioning and movement purposes. The motivation for the combination of these references is inherently disclosed in Teske (i.e., positioning purposes).

1 and 67 and the rejected claims 28 and 97, these claims and other claims depending therefrom are still rejected. Applicant may want to consider changing the dependency of the claims back to the way they were before this amendment, changing claim 28 back to a dependent claim and deleting claim 97, the withdrawn claims may be rejoined according to applicant's response to this advisory.

PRIMARY EXAMINE